

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,466	04/26/2001	Hiroyasu Kokubo	35576/233803	8005
826 7	590 08/23/2002			
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			EXAMINER	
101 SOUTH T	IERICA PLAZA RYON STREET, SUIT	E 4000	SHEIKH, HUMERA N	
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>'</u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summary	09/842,466	KOKUBO ET AL.			
Ome Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Humera N Sheikh	1615			
Period for Reply	ears on the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 June 2002 (paper no.6)</u> .					
, _	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

Acknowledgement is made of the receipt of the Response to the Restriction Requirement (Election of Group I) filed 06/12/02.

Claims 1 and 6-20 are pending. Claims 1 and 6-20 are rejected.

Claims 5 and 21-30 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to nonelected subject matter. Claims 2-4 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 1 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hampton et al. (US Pat. No. 5, 089,270) (collectively, "Hampton").

Hampton discloses a multi-colored, multi-characteristic, bi-layer tablet having a first and second different coloring agent wherein the tablet is uniformly coated with film forming substances (see reference column 2, lines 30-55); (col. 3, lines 5-38); (col. 5, lines 37-49); examples and claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1 and 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hampton et al. in view of Berta (US Pat. No. 4, 820,524).

Hampton teaches a multi-colored, multi-characteristic, bi-layer tablet having a first and second different coloring agent wherein the tablet is uniformly coated with film forming substances (see reference column 2, lines 30-55); (col. 3, lines 5-38); (col. 5, lines 37-49); examples and claims. Hampton teaches various forms of cellulose that can be employed with the tablet, such as methylcellulose, ethylcellulose and

Art Unit: 1615

hydroxypropyl methylcellulose. In addition, the tablet is covered with a clear coating material, such as gelatin. The two-color tablet can employ any color combination and can be formed in multiple segments of different sizes.

Hampton while teaching a coated, two-colored tablet does not explicitly teach a transparent or semi-transparent tablet.

Berta teaches a solid medicament, such as a caplet, having gelatinous coatings and capable of providing two or more different colors, wherein coloring can be added to the coatings to produce opaque or transparent colors (see reference column 9, lines 1-7).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the pharmaceutical art at the time the invention was made to formulate a solid coated preparation wherein colors can be made transparent because these colored medicaments are necessary to give a specialty product a distinctive appearance. The expected result would be a multi-colored or transparent coated caplet having an improved, distinct appearance, as similarly desired by the applicant.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Humera Sheikh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00A.M. to 4:30P.M.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,466

Art Unit: 1615

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235.

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600